

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/501,878 | 07/20/2004 | Christian Walsdorff | 53207 | 6621 |
| 26474 7 | 7590 12/13/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| NOVAK DRUCE DELUCA & QUIGG, LLP | | | DANG, THUAN D | |
| 1300 EYE STF SUITE 400 EA | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | N, DC 20005 | | 1764 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/501,878 | WALSDORFF ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Thuan D. Dang | 1764 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | orrespondence address | | | |
| Period fo | r Reply | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) 🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju | ly 2004. | | | | |
| | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)[| Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 . | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a)[| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau | | | | | |
| * S | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of | of the certified copies not receive | d. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment | c(s) | | | | | |
| | Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | ate atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/20/04</u> . 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is a process of production of alkenylaromatic compounds. However, there is no step recited in the claim the desired product is formed.

Claim 4 is recited a process has two to six steps. However, it is unclear what these steps are.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hughes (3,847,968).

Hughes discloses a process of producing styrene by reacting ethylbenzene in the presence of steam, a fuel gas containing methane and a catalyst to produce styrene (the abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/501,878

Art Unit: 1764

On column 3, lines 9-11, Hughes discloses that the molar ratio of steam to the ethylbenzene is from at least 6 to 1.

On column 3, lines 18-20, Hughes discloses that the molar ratio of the fuel gas to ethylbenzene is from about 1 to about 4.

The temperature and the pressure of the dehydrogenation step can be found on column 3, lines 40-44.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Art Unit: 1764

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 4, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hughes (3,847,968).

Hughes discloses a process as discussed above.

Hughes appears not to disclose (1) how many steps the reaction is carried out, (2) using natural gas as the fuel gas, and (3) using radial flow reactors for the reaction (see entire patent).

However, as discussed in the above 112 rejection, these claimed steps are not clear.

Therefore, steps in the Hughes process such as feeding the aromatic, steam, fuel gas, withdrawing the product are not different from the claimed process. It would have been obvious to one having oridinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Hughes process by using appropriate number steps to arrive at the applicants' claimed process provided that the desired product can be obtained.

It would have been obvious to one having oridinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Hughes process by using natural gas as the fuel gas in the Hughes process since natural gas contains a large amount of methane.

It would have been obvious to one having oridinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Hughes process by using any reactor for the Hughes process since it is expected that using any reactor for the Hughes process would produce similar results.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,878 Page 5

Art Unit: 1764

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan D. Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-1445. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thuan D. Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

10501878.20051208